Contract Medical Consultant Audit Worksheet



U.S Department of Labor

Office of Workers' Compensation Programs Division of Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Washington, D.C. 20210

Objective

The focus of the quarterly audit is the appropriate assignment of medical consultants and the quality of the written medical opinions.

Scope

The review category includes the Contract Medical Consultant (CMC) Reports. The category is broken down into a series of questions. The questions relate to a specific measurable performance item derived from assessment of case evidence received and to the guidance given to the CMC in the Contractor's Physician Reference Manual.

Methodology

The National Office shall randomly select 50 cases for review each fiscal quarter. The cases will be selected based upon invoices received during the quarter and the Quarterly Management Report submitted by the contractor. Twenty five file review cases, 20 impairment evaluations, and 5 second opinions, shall be reviewed each quarter.

The reviewer will review case documents submitted by the District Office to the contractor via the client portal. The reviewer shall code case actions deemed to be appropriate (Y). The reviewer shall code case actions deemed to be inappropriate (N). The reviewer will provide a thorough explanation for all items coded (N). In addition, any exceptional work is to be noted. The reviewer will utilize a manual score sheet to record all responses.

District Office:	
Type of Case:	
Date Reviewed:	
Reviewer:	
Claimant's Name:	File No. (Last 4):
Accepted or Claimed Conditions:	

Impairment Evaluation

1. Was the appropriate medical specialist assigned?	Choose an item	
Remarks		
2. Did the CMC provide a clinical history or summary of the facts?	Choose an item.	
	Yes No	
Remarks	Unknown	
	NA	
3. Did the CMC respond clearly to the Claims Examiner's (CE) questions?	Choose an item.	
	Yes	
	No Unknown	
Remarks	NA	
4. Did the CMC state the claimant was at MMI?	Choose an item.	
	Yes	
	No Unknown	
Remarks	NA	
5. Did the CMC use the 5th Edition of the AMA Guides?	Choose an item.	
	Yes No	
Remarks	Unknown	
Kemarks	NA	
6. Were the appropriate chapters and tables of the <i>AMA Guides</i> utilized?	Choose an item.	
Tropics and approximation and account to the second	Yes	
	No Unknown	
Remarks	NA	
7. Did the CMC provide an explanation of the impairment ratings?	Choose an item.	
	Yes	
	No Unknown	
Remarks	NA	
8. If multiple organs or functions were rated, was the combined values table used appropriately?	Choose an item.	
The second secon	Yes	
	No Unknown	
Remarks	NA	

9. Did the CMC provide a whole body impairment rating for only the accepted conditions?	Choose an item.
The state of the s	Yes
	No
Remarks	Unknown
Nemai NS	NA
10. Were the findings presented in a logical sequence?	Choose an item.
	Yes
	No
Remarks	Unknown
	NA
11. Was the rating consistent with the evidence in the file?	Choose an item.
The was the rating consistent with the evidence in the rine.	Yes
	No
n i	Unknown
Remarks	NA
12. Did the report contain the signed Potential Conflict of Interest Statement?	Choose an item.
	Yes
	No
Remarks	Unknown
Kemarks	NA

Audit Score Sheet

Date of Audit:		
District Office:		
Categories:		Yes
Categories.		No
1. Impairment Evaluation	Choose an item.	Unknown NA
2. File Review	Choose an item.	Yes No Unknown NA
3. Second Medical Opinion	Choose an item.	Yes No Unknown NA
Category Grade (check one):		
O Exceeds		
O Pass		
O Needs Improvement		
Comments:		

Reviewer: