

SUMMARY

In 2022, the Chief Evaluation Office (CEO) partnered with the <u>Employment and Training</u> <u>Administration's Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance (OTAA)</u> to fund contractor Mathematica and its partner Social Policy Research Associates to conduct the Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) Navigators Formative Study. The formative study examines the implementation of Navigator programs across states and how those programs provided hands-on assistance to workers to increase enrollment in the TAA program and improve training and employment outcomes.

This Department of Labor-funded study contributes to the labor evidence-base to inform <u>employment and training</u> programs and policies as well as addresses Departmental strategic goals and priorities.

This report describes findings from a formative study of TAA Navigators to better understand the implementation of Navigator positions across states. The study team collected data from TAA programs between November 2022 and October 2023 via three primary data collection activities: phone interviews with nine states; a web survey of TAA Coordinators in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico; and site visits with six states (three in-person and three virtual). To provide readers with an understanding of TAA Navigators and their deployment across the country, the report presents information drawn primarily from the web survey on the landscape of TAA Navigators. The report then presents case studies of three TAA Navigator models being implemented in 2023: Oregon's model, Virginia's model, and Indiana's model. OTAA and the study team chose these three states to serve as case studies due to the length of time they had implemented their Navigator models without making major changes to what the Navigators did nor to the number of Navigators at the time of the study.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- In 2023, 14 states deployed one or more TAA Navigators, following a model initially developed by the state of Oregon in 2015.
- Case studies of TAA Navigator models in Oregon, Virginia, and Indiana found that Navigators carried out a wide variety of activities at various stages during the TAA Program's lifecycle, including conducting outreach to trade-affected workers and helping TAA participants access needed services.
- Outreach was a key Navigator activity and included strategies to reach out directly to trade-affected workers who had been covered by a previously certified petition and to the broader community of trade-affected workers.
- Successful implementation of TAA Navigator positions requires a number of factors, including sufficient funding and numbers of participants, support from



state leaders, a clear focus on specific goals and activities for the positions, supportive and trusting Navigator supervisors, careful selection and retention of Navigators, clear and ongoing communication between Navigators and their partners, and good timing.

SEE FULL STUDY

TIMEFRAME: 2022-2027 SUBMITTED BY: Mathematica DATE PREPARED: December 2024

PARTNER AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration SPONSOR: Chief Evaluation Office CEO CONTACT: ChiefEvaluationOffice@dol.gov

The Department of Labor's (DOL) Chief Evaluation Office (CEO) sponsors independent evaluations and research, primarily conducted by external, third-party contractors in accordance with the <u>Department of</u> <u>Labor Evaluation Policy</u>. CEO's <u>research development process</u> includes extensive technical review at the design, data collection and analysis stage, including: external contractor review and OMB review and approval of data collection methods and instruments per the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), Institutional Review Board (IRB) review to ensure studies adhere to the highest ethical standards, review by academic peers (e.g., Technical Working Groups), and inputs from relevant DOL agency and program officials and CEO technical staff. Final reports undergo an additional independent expert technical review and a review for Section 508 compliance prior to publication. The resulting reports represent findings from this independent research and do not represent DOL positions or policies.