

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> September 28, 2015
<b>Received:</b> September 21, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8196-5xdw
<b>Comments Due:</b> September 24, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term ‘Fiduciary’; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-0204

Definition of the Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule- Retirement Investment Advice

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-5470

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-08831

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Arthur Schultz

---

## General Comment

I am commenting on the proposed rule "Definition of the Term Fiduciary: Conflict of Interest Rule-Retirement Investment Advice.

Gentlemen: I am a private citizen. I have been an equity market investor for over 50 years. I have used options within my IRA for the last five years. I have learned about options strategies and participate in this market to reduce risk. I have less money at risk and augment income by the proper use of options. I am happy with my performance to date. I do not want the government messing with my retirement. It is my money. I think this entire rule change is not necessary and detrimental to my retirement. I do not need you to claim that you are protecting me. In reality you continue on your mission to destroy incentive and increase government dependence.