PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Received: March 09, 2017 Status: Pending_Post

Tracking No. 1k1-8v5s-gkis **Comments Due:** March 17, 2017

Submission Type: API

Docket: EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term "Fiduciary"; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement

Investment Advice

Comment On: EBSA-2010-0050-3491

Definition of Term Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule-Retirement Investment

Document: EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-13089

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-04096

Submitter Information

Name: Brian Lipinski

Address: EBS PO Box 15686

Pittsburgh, PA, 15244

Email: Lipinski@Executivebrokerage.com

Phone: 412 747 7474 x114

Organization: Executive Brokerage Services

General Comment

This fiduciary rule needs to be repealed because it harms consumers in the following ways:

- 1. Higher costs for consumers: Increased litigation from the onerous BIC ("reasonable" compensation purposefully not defined), increased compliance costs and a decrease in competition (product manufacturers already limiting their offerings, fewer new planners will choose to enter the field or survive and many older planners will retire before they take on the burdens of the rule). The Commission model is less expensive than the fee model.
- 2. The \$1.5 billion sales requirement for Fixed Annuity distributors to be considered a Financial Institution will eliminate jobs and competition. Consumers will have fewer

product choices because the FI will need to limit the number of products they can manage on their platform, eliminating many of the smaller, but extremely valuable, product manufacturers.

3. The free market is more efficient than government in keeping costs low. Commissions are automatically as low as possible because product manufacturers will not pay one cent more than necessary to acquire assets. The DOL rule interferes with this market efficiency.