

EXHIBIT D

AGREEMENT CONCERNING PROCESS REQUIREMENTS FOR EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLAN TRANSACTIONS

Alpha Investment Consulting Group, LLC (“Alpha”) agrees to apply the following policies and procedures whenever Alpha serves as trustee or other fiduciary of an employee stock ownership plan (“ESOP”) subject to Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U.S.C. § 1001 et seq. (“ERISA”) that is purchasing or selling, is contemplating purchasing or selling, or receives an offer to purchase or sell, employer securities that are not publicly traded (“Transaction”).

A. Selection and Use of valuation advisor - General. Alpha shall do the following:

1. Prudently investigate the valuation advisor's qualifications;
2. Take reasonable steps to determine that the valuation advisor receives complete, accurate, and current information necessary to value the plan sponsor's securities;
3. Contemporaneously document the steps Alpha took – including who at Alpha took those steps – to determine that the valuation advisor received complete, accurate, and current information and to ensure Alpha understood the advice of the valuation advisor; and
4. Prudently determine that its reliance on the valuation advisor's advice is reasonable before entering into any Transaction in reliance on the advice.

B. Selection of valuation advisor - Conflicts of Interest. Alpha shall not use a valuation advisor for a Transaction that has previously performed work for any party to the Transaction other than the ESOP or its trustee, including but not limited to a

"preliminary valuation" for or on behalf of the plan sponsor (as distinguished from the ESOP), a committee of employees of the plan sponsor, any counterparty to the ESOP involved in the Transaction, or any other entity that is structuring the Transaction (such as an investment bank). Alpha shall not use a valuation advisor for a Transaction that has a familial or corporate relationship (such as a parent-subsidary relationship) to any of the aforementioned persons or entities. Alpha shall obtain written confirmation from the valuation advisor selected that none of the above-referenced relations exist.

C. Selection of valuation advisor - Process.

1. In selecting a valuation advisor for a Transaction, Alpha shall prepare a written analysis addressing the following topics:
 - a. The reason for selecting the particular valuation advisor;
 - b. A list of all the valuation advisors that Alpha considered;
 - c. A discussion of the qualifications of the valuation advisors that Alpha considered;
 - d. A list of at least three references checked and discussion of the references' views on the valuation advisor;
 - e. Whether the valuation advisor was the subject of prior criminal, civil, or regulatory proceedings/investigations related to its previous valuation work and the outcome of such proceedings or investigations; and
 - f. A full explanation of the basis for concluding that Alpha's selection of the valuation advisor was prudent.
2. If Alpha selects a valuation advisor from a roster of valuation advisors that it has previously used, Alpha need not undertake a-new the analysis outlined above if the following conditions are satisfied:

- a. Alpha previously performed the analysis described above in connection with a prior engagement of the valuation advisor;
- b. The previous analysis was completed within the prior calendar year immediately preceding Alpha's selection of the valuation advisor;
- c. Alpha documents in writing that it previously performed the analysis, the date(s) on which Alpha performed the analysis and the results of the analysis;
- d. Alpha's files contain the valuation advisor's confirmation that the information it previously provided pursuant to item (C)(1)(e) above is still accurate.

D. Oversight of valuation advisor – Required Analysis. Prior to approving a Transaction, Alpha shall request that the valuation advisor document the following items in its Valuation Report¹ and, if the valuation advisor does not so document, Alpha shall prepare or require the preparation of supplemental documentation of the following items to the extent they were not documented by the valuation advisor:

- 1. Use of Projections: Conduct reasonable inquiry into projections given by individual(s) responsible for providing any projections reflected in the Valuation Report, such reasonable inquiry shall include:
 - a. Whether those individuals have or reasonably may be determined to have any conflicts of interest in regard to the ESOP including but not limited to any interest in the purchase or sale of the plan sponsor's stock being considered;
 - b. Whether those individuals serve as agents or employees of persons with such conflicts, and the precise nature of any such conflicts; and

¹ All references to the term "Valuation Report" refer to the valuation advisor's report on which Alpha relies prior to the Transaction in deciding whether to approve or reject the Transaction.

c. How Alpha and the valuation advisor considered such conflicts in determining the value of the plan sponsor's securities.

2. An opinion as to the reasonableness of any projections considered in connection with the Transaction that explains in writing why and to what extent the projections are or are not reasonable. At a minimum, the analysis shall consider how the projections compare to, and whether they are reasonable in light of, the plan sponsor's five-year historical averages and/or medians and the five-year historical averages and/or medians of a group of comparable public companies (if any exist) for the following metrics, unless five-year data are unavailable (in which case, the analysis shall use averages extending as far back as possible):

- a. Return on assets;
- b. Return on equity;
- c. EBIT and EBITDA margins;
- d. Ratio of capital expenditures to sales;
- e. Revenue growth rate; and
- f. Ratio of free cash flows (of the enterprise) to sales.

3. If it is determined that any of these metrics should be disregarded in assessing the reasonableness of the projections, document in writing both the calculations of the metric (unless calculation is impossible) and the basis for the conclusion that the metric should be disregarded. The use of additional metrics to evaluate the reasonableness of projections other than those listed in section (D) (2) (a)-(f) above is not precluded as long as the appropriateness of those metrics is documented in writing.

4. If comparable companies are used for any part of a valuation - whether as part of a guideline company method of valuation, to gauge the reasonableness

of projections, or for any other purpose, explain in writing the basis for concluding that the comparable companies are actually comparable to the plan sponsor being valued, including on the basis of size, customer concentration (if such information is publicly available), and volatility of earnings. If a guideline company analysis is performed, explain in writing any discounts applied to the multiples selected, and if no discount is applied to any given multiple, explain in detail the reasons.

5. If the plan sponsor is projected to meet or exceed its historical performance or the historical performance of the group of comparable public companies on any of the metrics described in paragraph (D) (2) above, document in writing all material assumptions supporting such projections and why those assumptions are reasonable.

6. To the extent that Alpha or its valuation advisor considers any of the projections provided by the plan sponsor to be unreasonable, document in writing all adjustments made to the projections.

7. If adjustments are applied to the plan sponsor's historical or projected financial metrics in a valuation analysis, determine and explain in writing why such adjustments are reasonable.

8. Describe the risks facing the plan sponsor that could cause the plan sponsor's financial performance to fall materially below the projections relied upon by the valuation advisor.

9. If greater weight is assigned to some valuation methods than to others, explain in writing the weighting assigned to each valuation method and the basis for the weightings assigned.

10. Consider, as appropriate, how the ESOP document provisions regarding stock distributions, the duration of the ESOP loan, and the age and tenure of the

ESOP participants, may affect the plan sponsor's prospective repurchase obligation, the prudence of the Transaction or the fair market value of the stock.

11. Analyze and document in writing:

- a. Whether the plan sponsor will be able to service the debt taken on in connection with the Transaction (including the ability to service the debt in the event that the plan sponsor fails to meet the projections relied upon in valuing the stock);
- b. Whether the Transaction is fair to the ESOP participants from a financial point of view;
- c. Whether the Transaction is fair to the ESOP participants relative to all the other parties to the Transaction;
- d. Whether the terms of the financing of the Transaction are market-based, commercially reasonable, and in the best interests of the ESOP participants;
- e. Whether both seller financing and financial institution financing was considered and whether the loans sought from financial institutions were within the amounts the financial institution was willing to loan;
- f. Whether the terms of any loan the ESOP receives in connection with the Transaction are as favorable as the terms of any loans between the plan sponsor and any executive of the plan sponsor made within the two years preceding the Transaction; and
- g. The financial impact of the Transaction on the plan sponsor, and document in writing the factors considered in such analysis and conclusions drawn therefrom.

12. Explain any material differences between the present valuation and the most recent prior valuation of the plan sponsor performed within the past 24 months by

any valuation firm for any purpose (if any exist).

E. Financial Statements.

1. Alpha shall request that the plan sponsor provide Alpha and its valuation advisor with unqualified audited financial statements for the preceding five fiscal years, unless unqualified audited financial statements extending back five years are unavailable (in which case, Alpha shall request unqualified audited financial statements extending as far back as possible).

2. If the plan sponsor provides to Alpha or its valuation advisor unaudited or qualified audited financial statements for any of the preceding five fiscal years (including interim financial statements that update or supplement the last available unqualified audited financial statement), Alpha shall determine whether it is prudent to rely on these financial statements notwithstanding the risk posed by using unaudited or qualified audited financial statements.

3. If Alpha proceeds with the Transaction notwithstanding the lack of unqualified audited financial statements (including interim financial statements that update or supplement the last available unqualified audited financial statement), Alpha shall document the basis for Alpha's belief that it is prudent to rely on the financial statements, and explain in writing how Alpha accounted for any risk posed by using financial statements other than unqualified audited financial statements. If Alpha does not believe that it can reasonably conclude that it would be prudent to rely on the financial statements used in the Valuation Report, Alpha shall not proceed with the Transaction. While Alpha need not audit the financial statements themselves, it must carefully consider the reliability of those statements in the manner set forth herein.

4. Alpha may approve a Transaction notwithstanding the lack of

unqualified audited financial statements (including interim financial statements that update or supplement the last unqualified audited financial statement) only if the stock purchase agreement includes a provision requiring the selling or purchasing shareholder(s) who is(are) an officer, manager, or member of the board of directors of the plan sponsor to compensate the ESOP for any losses or other harms caused by or related to financial statements that did not accurately reflect the plan sponsor's financial condition.

F. Fiduciary Review Process - General. In connection with any Transaction, Alpha agrees to do the following:

1. Take reasonable steps necessary to determine the prudence of relying on the plan sponsor's financial statements provided to the valuation advisor, as set out more fully in paragraph E above;

2. Critically assess the reasonableness of all projections (particularly management projections), and if the Valuation Report does not document in writing the reasonableness of such projections to Alpha's satisfaction, Alpha shall prepare supplemental documentation explaining why and to what extent the projections are or are not reasonable;

3. If Alpha believes the projections are unreasonable, Alpha shall ask the valuation advisor to account for the unreasonable projections in its valuation, request new and reasonable projections from management, or reject the Transaction. Alpha must document the basis for its decision.

4. Ensure that the information the valuation advisor obtains from the plan sponsor and purchasing or selling shareholder(s) includes the following, to the extent it exists:

a. All prior attempts by the purchasing or selling shareholder(s)

to purchase or sell their stock in the plan sponsor within the proceeding two (2) years;

b. All prior defaults within the past five years by the plan sponsor under any lending or financing agreement;

c. All management letters provided to the plan sponsor by its accountants within the past five years; and

d. All information related to a valuation of the plan sponsor provided to the Internal Revenue Service within the past five years.

G. Fiduciary Review Process - Documentation of Valuation Analysis.

Alpha shall document in writing its analysis of the Valuation Report relating to a Transaction. Alpha's documentation shall specifically address each of the following topics and shall include Alpha's conclusions regarding the Valuation Report's treatment of each topic and explain in writing the basis for its conclusions:

1. Marketability discounts;

2. Minority interests and control premiums;

3. Projections of the plan sponsor's future financial performance and the reasonableness or unreasonableness of such projections, including, if applicable, the basis for assuming that the plan sponsor's future financial performance will meet or exceed historical performance or the expected performance of the relevant industry generally;

4. Analysis of the plan sponsor's strengths and weaknesses, which may include, as appropriate, personnel, plant and equipment, capacity, research and development, marketing strategy, business planning, financial condition, and any other factors that reasonably could be expected to affect future performance;

5. Specific discount rates chosen, including whether any weighted average cost of capital used by the valuation advisor was based on the plan sponsor's actual

capital structure or that of the relevant industry and why the chosen capital structure weighting was reasonable;

6. All adjustments to the plan sponsor's historical financial statements;

7. Consistency of the general economic and industry-specific narrative in the Valuation Report with the quantitative aspects of the Valuation Report;

8. Reliability and timeliness of the historical financial data considered, including a discussion of whether the financial statements used by the valuation advisor were the subject of unqualified audit opinions, and if not, why it would nevertheless be prudent to rely on them;

9. The comparability of the companies chosen as part of any analysis based on the plan sponsor's comparable companies;

10. Material assumptions underlying the Valuation Report and all testing and analysis of these assumptions;

11. Where the Valuation Report made choices between averages, medians, and outliers (e.g., in determining the multiple(s) used under the guideline company method of valuation), the reasons for the choices;

12. Treatment of corporate debt;

13. Whether the methodologies employed were standard and accepted methodologies and the basis for any departures from standard and accepted methodologies;

14. The plan sponsor's ability to service all debt or liabilities to be taken on in connection with the Transaction;

15. The Transaction's reasonably foreseeable risks as of the date of the Transaction; and

16. All other material considerations or variables that could have a

significant effect on the price of the plan sponsor's securities.

H. Fiduciary Review Process - Reliance on Valuation Report.

1. Alpha, through its employees who are primarily responsible for the proposed Transaction, including all employees who participated in decisions on whether to proceed with the Transaction or the price of the Transaction, shall do the following, and document in writing its work with respect to each:

- a. Read and understand the Valuation Report;
- b. Identify and question the valuation report's underlying assumptions;
- c. Make reasonable inquiry as to whether the information in the Valuation Report is materially consistent with information in Alpha's possession;
- d. Analyze whether the Valuation Report's conclusions are consistent with the data and analysis; and
- e. Analyze whether the Valuation Report is internally consistent in material aspects.

2. Alpha shall document in writing the following: (a) the identities of its employees who were primarily responsible for the proposed Transaction, including all employees who participated in decisions on whether to proceed with the Transaction or the price of the Transaction; (b) all material points on which such employee disagreed and why; and (c) whether all such employees concluded or expressed the belief prior to Alpha's approval of the Transaction that the Valuation Report's conclusions were inconsistent with the data and analysis therein or that the Valuation Report was internally inconsistent in material aspects.

3. If the employees who were primarily responsible for the Transaction,

including all employees who participated in decisions on whether to proceed with the Transaction or the price of the Transaction, believe that the Valuation Report's conclusions are not consistent with the data and analysis or that the Valuation Report is internally inconsistent in material respects, Alpha shall not proceed with the Transaction.

4. Alpha shall independently determine whether a Fairness Opinion is required and, if so, shall not proceed without one.

I. Preservation of Documents. In connection with any Transaction approved by Alpha, Alpha will create a Transaction folder and preserve for at least six (6) years the following:

1. The full name, business address, business telephone number and email address at the time of Alpha's consideration of the Transaction of each employee who was primarily responsible for the Transaction, including any employee who participated in decisions on whether to proceed with the Transaction or the price of the Transaction, and any other Alpha employee who made any material decision(s) on behalf of Alpha in connection with the Transaction;

2. All relevant notes and records created by Alpha in connection with its consideration of the Transaction, including all documentation required by this Consent Order and Judgment;

3. The vote (yes or no) of each employee of Alpha who voted on the proposed transaction and a signed certification by each voting employee, in his or her representative capacity, and all other Alpha employees who made any material decision(s) on behalf of Alpha in connection with the proposed Transaction that they have read the valuation report, identified its underlying assumptions, and considered the reasonableness of the valuation report's assumptions and conclusions;

4. All relevant documents Alpha and the employees identified in paragraph (I)(1) above relied on in making the decisions;

5. All relevant electronic or other written communications Alpha and the employees identified in paragraph (I)(1) above had with service providers (including any valuation advisor), the plan sponsor, any non-ESOP counterparties, and any advisors retained by the plan sponsor or non-ESOP counterparties;

J. Debt and Fair Market Value. The principal amount of the debt financing the Transaction, irrespective of the interest rate, cannot exceed the plan sponsor's securities' fair market value. Accordingly, Alpha shall not cause an ESOP to engage in a leveraged stock purchase Transaction in which the principal amount of the debt financing the Transaction exceeds the fair market value of the plan sponsor's securities acquired with that debt, irrespective of the interest rate or other terms of the debt used to finance the Transaction.

K. Control. If Alpha approves a Transaction in which the ESOP cedes any degree of control to which it would otherwise be entitled based on its ownership interest, including but not limited to the unencumbered ability to vote its shares (for example, by electing members of the board of directors), Alpha must document all consideration received in exchange for such limitation on the ESOP's control (or how the limitation on control is otherwise reflected in the purchase price) and why it is fair to the ESOP. If Alpha approves a Transaction in which the ESOP pays a control premium, Alpha must document why it believes that the ESOP is obtaining voting control and control in fact and identify all limitations on such control as well as the specific amount of consideration the ESOP received for such limitation(s).

L. Consideration of Claw-Back. In evaluating a proposed Transaction, Alpha

shall consider whether it is appropriate to request a claw-back arrangement or other purchase price adjustment(s) to protect the ESOP against the possibility of adverse consequences in the event of significant corporate events or changed circumstances. Alpha shall document in writing its consideration of the appropriateness of a claw-back or other purchase price adjustment(s).

M. Other Professionals. Alpha may, consistent with its fiduciary responsibilities under ERISA, employ, or delegate fiduciary authority to qualified professional service providers to aid Alpha in the exercise of its powers, duties, and responsibilities in the Transaction as long as it is prudent to do so.