

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>Received:</b> December 25, 2022 <b>Tracking No.</b> lc3-e9kk-x9ed <b>Comments Due:</b> January 06, 2023 <b>Submission Type:</b> Web
---

**Docket:** EBSA-2022-0008

Proposed Amendment to Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption 84-14 (the QPAM Exemption)

**Comment On:** EBSA-2022-0008-0049

Posting of Hearing Transcript Regarding Proposed Amendment to Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption 84-14 (the QPAM Exemption) and Closing of Reopened Comment Period

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Oskar Gabelgård Bidstrup

---

## General Comment

I write this comment as a retail investor

I write this comment in support of the proposed amendment.

Qpam should not be allowed to manage accounts while under any kind of investigation

Wall st. Has seemingly been abusing this law and I do not think that this is fair behaviour in an open and free market.

I believe that it is good and essential use of taxpayer money to prosecute financial criminals.

If regular citizens engage in small scale fraudulent activities they get severely punished. When wall street does the same they can waiver responsibility, this is grotesque market practice.

The SEC chooses to punish YouTubers and influencers like Kim Kardashian for relatively small reasons. While large scale financial institutions get away with little to no consequence for their crimes.