

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>Received:</b> December 18, 2023 <b>Tracking No.</b> lqa-zny0-pfbv <b>Comments Due:</b> January 02, 2024 <b>Submission Type:</b> API
---

**Docket:** EBSA-2023-0014  
Definition of an Investment Advice Fiduciary

**Comment On:** EBSA-2023-0014-0001  
Retirement Security Rule: Definition of an Investment Advice Fiduciary

**Document:** 1210-AC02 comment 00084 Moravek 12182023

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** John Moravek

---

## General Comment

Every situation I've seen where a consumer has been harmed by dubious actions by a "financial advisor" has one issue in common: it's a person who is only licensed to sell insurance holding themselves out to the public as a "financial advisor". If there is going to be legislation, please make legislation that actually addresses the root problems. These DOL regulations stink of special interest, mainly the insurance companies and the 401k companies who don't want to see assets leave, even though it is in the best interest of the consumer in almost all scenarios.

I'm writing to express my concerns with the Department of Labor's (DOL) Retirement Security rule proposal. I believe this proposal will harm millions of low- and middle-income households by limiting access to personalized financial guidance and advice.

As a financial professional, I'm already required to act in the best interests of my clients under the SEC's Regulation Best Interest (Reg BI) and, when considering annuities, applicable state laws that impose similar requirements. Together, these regulations ensure that my clients and I can focus on working together to build responsible savings and investments habits.

This proposal has the potential to upend our existing, comprehensive structure by

limiting our ability to help our clients safeguard their savings in a manner of their choosing. In particular, the previous iteration of a substantially similar DOL rule resulted in a meaningful reduction in services offered to millions of low- and middle-income households. I am concerned that the resurrection of this rule is expected to exacerbate the racial wealth gap by roughly 20% due to a disproportionate impact on Black and Hispanic communities.

I hope the DOL will consider the harm the previous fiduciary rule had on communities, as well as the changes in securities regulations that came with the adoption of Reg BI and state insurance suitability rules and withdraw the Retirement Security rule proposal.