

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Received: December 17, 2023 Tracking No. lqa-6p5c-jx8u Comments Due: January 02, 2024 Submission Type: API

Docket: EBSA-2023-0014
Definition of an Investment Advice Fiduciary

Comment On: EBSA-2023-0014-0001
Retirement Security Rule: Definition of an Investment Advice Fiduciary

Document: 1210-AC02 comment 00081 Hassel 12172023

Submitter Information

Name: Garth Hassel

General Comment

The Honorable Lisa M. Gomez
Assistant Secretary of Labor
Employee Benefits Security Administration
U. S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20210

RE: RIN 1210-AC02

Dear Honorable Gomez,

The new U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) proposed fiduciary rule solves nothing that isn't already solved.

I am already a fiduciary. When it comes to regulations, more is not better--except for former bureaucrats taking their pension then wresting obscene fees to litigate the morass of conflicting regulations they wrote on the nickel of those being regulated. That is not public service. That is self service. And it's out of control.

This new rule proposes to revise the current fiduciary rule under the Employee

Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), governing the advice that financial advisors provide their clients. This proposed revision largely resurrects the failed 2016 DOL “fiduciary-only” rule that limited savers’ choice of advisors and investments by imposing excessive amounts of costly red tape and duplicative administrative requirements on the investment transactions they make for their retirement.

With this proposed revision, DOL ignores the real-world experience decisively demonstrating that the 2016 DOL fiduciary rule significantly harmed lower and middle-income workers before being thrown out in 2018 by a federal appeals court. The adoption of the 2016 fiduciary rule resulted in more than 10 million smaller retirement account owners losing the ability to work with their preferred financial professionals. Main Street savers could simply not afford to retain advisors under the fiduciary-only model of regulation. Moreover, if DOL adopts a new rule that is like the 2016 rule, recent research concludes the retirement savings of 2.7 million individuals with incomes below \$100,000 would plummet by \$140 billion over ten years. Black and Latino retirement account owners would be among the hardest hit, increasing the racial wealth gap by 20 percent.

Since the 2016 fiduciary rule was invalidated, regulators at the federal and state levels have adopted significant new regulations that directly address the conflicts of interest that DOL asserts it is seeking to address with its new proposed rule. The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) adopted Regulation Best Interest (Reg BI), which requires all broker-dealers and their registered representatives to always act in their client’s best interest without putting their own interests first. In addition, more than forty states have now enacted an updated National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) model regulation that requires insurance producers to satisfy a best interest standard that aligns well with Reg BI. In addition, DOL adopted its own new rule in 2020 that complements the federal and state regulatory regime.

Adoption of this proposed rule is

- 1) Dangerous. It is dangerous because it will leave millions of Main Street investors on their own in trying to achieve retirement security for themselves and their families.
- 2) Unnecessary. It is unnecessary because there are already federal and state regulatory structures to protect consumers, and DOL has provided no evidence that consumers are not being protected by the existing rules.
- 3) Waste of our tax dollars. It's redundant and will clog the courts with totally avoidable litigation.
- 4) Political. Because there is no net benefit to consumers or any non-bureaucrat, it's simply part of a greater D.C. power grab. Nobody is fooled. It stinks.

I ask that you please withdraw the proposed final regulation and proposed

amendments to protect the interest of Main Street Americans.

Sincerely,
Garth Hassel
Idaho