

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> July 25, 2011
<b>Received:</b> July 21, 2011
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 80ec845b
<b>Comments Due:</b> July 25, 2011
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0019

Interim Final Rules for Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Internal Claims and Appeals and External Review Processes Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0019-0002

Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers: Internal Claims and Appeals and External Review Processes

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0019-DRAFT-0057

Comment on FR Doc # 2011-15890

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Joana Ramos

**Address:**

Seattle, WA,

---

## General Comment

On behalf of myself, I wish to comment on the 10% threshold for translation and oral interpretation of private plan materials in the internal review and appeals contexts. I am a health policy consultant and a Portuguese medical interpreter.

The 10% standard is far too high. A more appropriate standard would be "5% of the plan's population or 500 persons in plan's service area, whichever is less" for large group plans, and 25% of population for small plans. Oral interpretation should be provided in all languages at all times.

These new proposed standards would completely leave out almost all LEP persons, including Portuguese-speakers. The standards also ignore well-documented knowledge about how language proficiency occurs on continuum. Sendo que informações sobre o seguro de saúde são essenciais, e fazem parte integral do sistema de assistência médica agora vigente nos EUA, seria negação dos direitos civis dos pacientes nega-los acesso a assistência linguística. E sem acesso à comunicação, os custos iriam crescer, tanto para o setor de seguros, as demais empresas, os hospitais e clínicas, os médicos, como para os pacientes, em termos pessoais de sofrimento e em termos financeiros para todos. Please ensure that the appropriate standard be enacted, do not take steps backwards that would jeopardize the health of so many and increase costs for systems and individuals.