

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> September 23, 2011
<b>Received:</b> September 21, 2011
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 80f263c7
<b>Comments Due:</b> September 30, 2011
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0018

Interim Final Rules for Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of Preventive Services Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0018-0002

Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of Preventive Services under Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: Amendment

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0018-DRAFT-0134

Comment on FR Doc # 2011-19684

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Michael Paul Aschoff Jr

**Address:**

1563 Solano Ave #309

BERkeley, CA,

**Email:** michaelaschoff@gmail.com

**Phone:** 510 524 1964

**Organization:** NA

---

## General Comment

Attention: CMS-9992-IFC2

To Whom It May Concern:

I oppose any exemption that allows an exclusion of preventative health services for some women based on their employers' religious. It is not religious freedom that is protected when such an exemption is made, but individual freedom that is restricted.

During a time when jobs are scarce and organizations and companies may discriminate based on religious beliefs, and when individuals are not able to use marketplace pressures to force employers to accede to the majority's wishes of contraceptive coverage, it is the responsibility of government to protect the interests of those who are unable to protect themselves.

I'm a little confused that you don't understand separation of church and state. Religion is antiquated and unsubstantiated and we can't have every person's ideals addressed, that's how we got Muslimism.

SCcience and public health should not be fettered by caveman like speculation.

Sincerely,  
Michael Aschoff