

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> July 19, 2010
<b>Received:</b> May 13, 2010
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 80aecc7f
<b>Comments Due:</b> August 11, 2010
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0011

Interim Final Rules for Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Dependent Coverage of Children to Age 26 Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0011-0001

Interim Final Rules for Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Dependent Coverage of Children to Age 26 Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0011-DRAFT-0002

Comment on FR Doc # 2010-11391

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Ann Melinda Maher

**Address:**

45 South Seventh Street  
Minneapolis, MN, 55402-1609

**Email:** mmaher@oppenheimer.com

**Phone:** 612-607-7436

**Organization:** Oppenheimer, Wolff & Donnelly

---

## General Comment

Some employer-sponsored group health plans extend dependent eligibility for coverage to employees' grandchildren and children of employees' Domestic Partners. Under the PPACA and these interim final rules, it is clear that such coverage is not required. If an employer chooses to extend eligibility to such dependents, is the employer now prohibited from imposing a residency or support requirement on the grandchild or child of Domestic Partner under Section 2590.715-2714 (b) "Restrictions on plan definition of dependent"?