## PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: February 03, 2011 Received: February 03, 2011 Status: Pending\_Post

Tracking No. 80bda0b8 Comments Due: February 03, 2011

Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050 Definition of the Term "Fiduciary"

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-0001 Definition of the Term Fiduciary

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-0024 Comment on FR Doc # 2010-26236

## **Submitter Information**

Name: Douglas John Brink

Address:

13500 Quincy St. Holland, MI, 49424

Email: doug.brink@bradfordcompany.com

Phone: 616-399-3000

**Organization:** Bradford Company

## **General Comment**

Dear sir or madam,

I am a trustee for the Bradford Company Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP).

I am writing to discourage the proposed definition of "fiduciary". I have a very simple rational  $\dots$  where would the responsibility end?

I mean why stop with the appraiser? Why not make the company officers, lawyers, administrators, accountants, bankers or anyone else who touches the plan a fiduciary?

The current system provides an adequate separation of duties and this proposed change will only add cost and complexity.

Feel free to contact me directly if you have any questions.

Kind regards, Doug Brink