From: Steve Abney [mailto:steve.abney@gmail.com] Sent: Sunday, September 13, 2015 3:36 PM To: EBSA, E-ORI - EBSA Subject: RIN 1210-AB32

In a just world, there wouldn't be a huge difference between "suitable" and "in the best interest." If it's not in my best interest, how can it possibly be suitable?

Why is it I can trust the grocery bagger, who I don't pay, to active in my best interest, such as not putting the tomato juice can atop the bread, but I can't trust someone charging 1% AUM to do the same?

Steve Abney Winter Haven FL