

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: July 16, 2015
Received: July 12, 2015
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jz-8jy0-16h5
Comments Due: July 21, 2015
Submission Type: Web

Docket: EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term ‘Fiduciary’; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

Comment On: EBSA-2010-0050-0205

Definition of Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule-Retirement Investment Advice and Related Proposed Prohibited Transaction Exemptions; Hearing and Comment Period Extension

Document: EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-2131

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-14921

Submitter Information

Name: Richard J White

Address:

Glenash Ct

Cincinnati, OH, 45242

Email: RJWhite2012@aol.com

Phone: 5138919226

General Comment

I most strongly oppose having the Federal government, and other government entities too, 'messaging' with my relationship with my wealth advisors who I choose and trust.

I suggest that the Federal government first get their own fiscal house in order (IE eliminate the huge debt which will soon destroy our republic is it continues to grow).

There is no constitutional way for the Federal government to be involved in this proposed rule.

The most effective way to reduce Federal government cost would be for a start, to eliminate the EBSA, saving the taxpayers millions of dollars and reducing the complexity of investing for retirement.