

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> July 16, 2015
<b>Received:</b> July 12, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8jxx-7xyu
<b>Comments Due:</b> July 21, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term ‘Fiduciary’; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-0205

Definition of Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule-Retirement Investment Advice and Related Proposed Prohibited Transaction Exemptions; Hearing and Comment Period Extension

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-2105

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-14921

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Anonymous Anonymous

---

## General Comment

There are enough state regulations around retirement accounts, we don't need any more federal rules or oversight in this matter. If you feel you need to look into financial matters, why don't you focus your energy on Congressional Insider Trading? I'm sure there's plenty of Insider Trading by staff and family members of Congress to keep you all engaged and plenty of new regulations that can be passed and taxed as well.