## **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

**As of:** 7/21/15

Received: July 13, 2015 Status: Pending\_Post

Tracking No. 1jz-8jyf-n160 Comments Due: July 21, 2015

Submission Type: Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term "Fiduciary"; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

Comment On: EBSA-2010-0050-0205

Definition of Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule-Retirement Investment Advice and Related Proposed Prohibited Transaction Exemptions; Hearing and Comment Period Extension

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-2179

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-14921

## **Submitter Information**

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

## **General Comment**

This is a very bad idea. I understand the intention is to "protect" consumers retirement accounts but the IRA/401K is not intended to be the structure for a safety net. We already have that in the social security system. It is well established how the IRA/401K works and there are many existing products which help manage risk that is appropriate for retirement age. I see no consumer demand for the government to change this system.